# Therapeutic Alliance in Speech Telepractice

Samantha Salanga & Dr. Tonya Dantuma, PhD. CCC-SLP

BIOLA





# CURRENT RESEARCH

Several studies already validate the efficacy of telepractice for SLPs. Examples include Cherny et al. (2011), Camden et al. (2019), and Elliot et al. (2020).

#### General Benefits of Therapeutic

- Alliance (Fourie et al. 2011) - Client's increased motivation
- Client's view of speech therapy sessions as a safe environment
- Increased compliance in activities
- Therapeutic Alliance For Speech Telepractice
- Attainable online (Hines et al. 2019) - Clinician adaptation (Hines et al. 2015)
- Aide or parent support (Akemoglu, 2018).
- Non-verbal behaviors (Akemoglu, 2018).



+3 

#### PURPOSE

The purpose of this study was to determine the perspectives of

speech-language pathologists (SLPs) on the feasibility of implementing therapeutic alliance strategies to build rapport with speech therapy clients in the

telepractice setting.

<u>BU</u>

- Do SLPs feel they are able to build therapeutic alliance with their clients via telepractice?

- In comparing the strategies and characteristics of therapeutic alliance developed within in-person sessions, which characteristics are most easily established within telepractice?

- Which are the most difficult?

#### STUDY DESIGN Q-Methodology: analyzes the differences and commonalities between a range of perspectives by integrating both qualitative and quantitative data (Stephenson, 1925). Participants sort statements into a fixed grid. <u>Qualitative Information:</u> - Participant's subjective opinion Quantitative Information: - Pattern analysis of similarly aligned responses Diverse range of opinions Participant responses are informed by a "post-sort" questionnaire Analyze correlations between statements Opinions sorted on a numeric scale 1 -3 -2 -1 0 +1 +2





































## **GENERAL APPLICATIONS**

- If you find yourself in an ineffective telepractice session, recognize there may be multiple factors that contribute to the efficacy of the session.

- Context and the client's needs can greatly influence the efficacy of telepractice. However, there may be adaptations or modifications that can mitigate the dominant barriers to successful therapeutic alliance in telepractice.

- As with other topics in the SLP field, qualified clinicians can have differing perspectives on cultivating therapeutic alliance in telepractice.

## **FUTURE RESEARCH**

- Q-Methodology studies with more limited scopes:
  - Specific communication disorders
  - SLPs with specialized certifications or expertises
  - SLPs employed in a particular setting
- Research on how to remove barriers or obstacles to Factor 2 and 6.
   Longitudinal studies on therapeutic alliance over long periods of

#### • telepractice

BU

(<u>bu</u>)

#### **REFERENCES PAGE 1**

Akemoglu, Y., Pearson, J. N., & Meadan, H. (2018). Getting Connected: Speech and Language Pathologists' Perceptions of Building Rapport via
Telepractice.http://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-018-9603-3
American Speech-Language Hearing Association (n.d.). Evidence Maps. https://www2.asha.org/evidence-maps/
Bishop, M., Kayes, N., McPherson, K. (2021). Understanding the Therapeutic Alliance in Stroke Rehabilitation. ttps://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2019.1651909
Bordin, E. S. (1979). The generalizability of the psychoanalytic concept of the working alliance. https://doi.org/10.1037/H0085885
Brown, J. (2011). ASHA and the evolution of telepractice.
Camden, C., Pratte, G., Fallon, F., Couture, M., Berbari, J., & Tousignant, M. (2019) Diversity of practices in telerehabilitation for children with disabilities and
effective intervention characteristics: results from a systematic review. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2019.1595750
Cherney, L. R., Kaye, R. C., & Hitch, R. S. (2011). The best of both worlds: Combining synchronous and asynchronous telepractice in the treatment of aphasia.
https://doi.org/10.1044/nnsld21.3.83
Crom, A., Paap, D., Wijma, A., Dijkstra, P. U., Pool, G. (2020). Between the Lines: A Qualitative Phenomenological Analysis of the Therapeutic Alliance in
Pediatric Physical Therapy. https://doi.org/10.1080/01942638.2019.1610138
Cross, R. M. (2004). Exploring attitudes: the case for Q methodology. https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyg121
Ebert, K. D. (2017). Measuring Clinician-Client Relationships in Speech-Language Treatment for School-Age Children.
https://doi.org/10.1044/2016_AJSLP-16-0018
Ebert, K. D. (2018). Parent perspectives on the clinician-client relationship in speech-language treatment for children.
https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jcomdis.2018.03.005
Elliott, E., Green, C., Llewellyn, D. J., & Quinn, T. J. (2020). Accuracy of Telephone-Based Cognitive Screening Tests: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
https://doi.org/10.2174/1567205017999200626201121
Fong, R., Tsai, C. F., & Yiu, O. Y. (2020). The Implementation of Telepractice in SLP in Hong Kong during COVID-19 Pandemic.
https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2020.0223
Fourie, R., Crowley, N., Oliviera, A. (2011). A Qualitative Exploration of Therapeutic Relationships from the Perspective of Six Children Receiving
Speech-Language Therapy. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/TLD.0b013e3182353f00
Freckmann, A., Hines, M., & Lincoln, M. (2017). Clinicians' Perspectives of Therapeutic Alliance in Face-to-Face and Telepractice Speech-Language Pathology
Sessions. https://doi.org/10.1080/17549507.2017.1292547
Geller, S. (2020). Cultivating Online Therapeutic Presence: Strengthening Therapeutic Relationships in Teletherapy Sessions. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09515070.2020.1787348
Hines, M., Lincoln, M., Ramsden, R., Martinovich, J., & Fairweather, C. (2015). Speech Pathologists' Perspectives on Transitioning to Telepractice:
What Factors Promote Acceptance? https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633x15604555



## **REFERENCES PAGE 2**

